

MEASURIN

UNFAIR TO

SENIORS

X **It is WRONG to assume ALL SENIORS are wealthy and can afford \$60,000 tax.** (\$6,000/yr X 10 years). Most seniors are hard-working middle-class citizens, NOT wealthy beneficiaries of trust funds as the Measure "N" authors would like you to believe. Most seniors with Tahoe homes have invested a lifetime of hard work and long commutes, sacrificed family time, and pinched pennies to eventually be able to buy their Tahoe escape. Furthermore, most seniors live on fixed incomes, experience increasing medical care expenses, and are disproportionately affected by inflation.

X **It is WRONG to force retired seniors to become part-time landlords.** Being a landlord is a BUSINESS that requires time, skills and planning. Seniors should be able to use their second homes "at will" without having to find/screen tenants, move or lock-up their possessions, nor be deprived of using their property without advanced planning.

X **It is WRONG to FORCE seniors, to EITHER PAY the tax OR be COMPELLED to spend a certain number of days at their second homes** - requiring seniors to endure harsh winter conditions, compromise access to medical care, deprive them of extended travel, and/or isolate them from family and friends.

X **It is WRONG for the entire community and locals.** Confiscating \$6000+ per year from senior citizens will NOT fix the housing problem after the enforcement bureaucracy takes their cut. Making matters worse, "Measure N" contains "CPI escalator" language, meaning that over the course of a decade the tax could grow to \$8,000-\$10,000 per year. Seniors will be forced to reduce expenditures on goods and services in the community, adversely impacting local businesses. The very workers the measure is supposed to help are the ones who will be hit the hardest.

VOTE NO **NO MEASURE**
"N"
NO VACANCY
TAX